

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC
ited States Patent and Trademark Office
tress: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/046,191	01/16/2002	Jean-Yves Vion-Dury	111170	3084	
27074 75	90 09/09/2005		EXAMINER		
	OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC. P.O. BOX 19928			KISS, ERIC B	
ALEXANDRIA	•		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
			2192		

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/046,191	10/046,191 VION-DURY ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eric B. Kiss	2192	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c		lress
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final reject	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ant canceling the
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	nowable if subfillited iff a separate,	unitely lifed afficient	on canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 10,19, as set forth in the Richard Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	vided below or appended.		explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. Other: _____.

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed claims introduce indefiniteness rejectable under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. For example, it is unclear whether replacement of "the evaluation of the second argument" in claim 9 with "a second argument evaluation of the second argument" is intended to merely add a nonfunctional label (in which case, the purpose of the amendment is unclear) or to indicate more than one evaluation of the second argument. Similar ambiguities may be present in other proposed claims.

TUAN DAM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER